

Steps involved to Rely on another IRB

(Reliance Agreements / Institutional Authorization Agreements)



Step 1: Establishing who is the IRB of Record

- *IRB of Record*: The IRB that provides approval for Initial & subsequent submissions for all relying sites.
- For federally-funded, multi-site studies, this should be discussed when writing the grant.
 - Some IRBs charge for being the IRB of Record
 - Temple generally will not act as the IRB of Record
- Industry-sponsors generally dictate the IRB of Record
 - Usually, it is either WCG or Advarra
- Another IRB can be the IRB of Record*, even if not required
 - *Depending on IAA constraints discussed later

Step 2: Executing the agreement

- Reliance agreements and Institutional Authorization Agreements (IAAs) are different words for the same thing.
- The process for executing an IAA differs based on institution.
 - Temple has existing agreements with Fox Chase, WCG, and Advarra. All other IRBs will require a new reliance agreement to be signed.
 - Most IRBs require an additional local context questionnaire (or equivalent).
 - Most Universities use the SMART IRB Agreement (likely will refer to it as an LOA (Letter of Agreement)).
 - Have your collaborator talk with their IRB about their specific process.
 - Connect with Temple IRB early to coordinate.
- Signing the agreement can happen in parallel to the local context review.
- **Temple IRB must conduct a local context review before the IRB of Record can review our site, regardless of if there is an existing agreement.**

Step 3: Submit for local context review

- Note: Most IRBs will not fully execute a reliance agreement until the IRB of Record has approved the base study (i.e., without external sites).
- After the main site is approved, submit the study via ERA (era.temple.edu).
- Create a new study as you normally would, and include a clearly labeled memo stating:
 - Who will be the IRB of Record and if the IAA will use SMART IRB.
 - Variations in how Temple will conduct the research, compared to the protocol (e.g., not enrolling minors, only handling identifiable data, etc.).
- Include any documents associated with the IAA (e.g., local context forms, LOIs, LOAs, ancillary committee checklists, etc.).

Step 4: Local context review

- When conducting the local context review we will look for:
 - Can the PI be a PI?
 - Do research personnel have required CITI trainings and are in the route?
 - Is there a known fCOI, and is the required language in the consent?
 - Ancillary committee (e.g., MRC, IBC, IDS, etc.) notification or approval, as appropriate.
 - Has TUHS IT approved (generally only required for registries where we're sharing significant amounts of PHI)?

Step 4: Local context review (cont'd)

- Consent form checks:
 - PI contact information
 - We are testing phone numbers after several instances dead numbers
 - Injury statement is consistent with template language and funder?
 - Reference to the separate HIPAA Authorization is included (if accessing our EMR)
 - Temple IRB, Temple University, and Temple University Hospital System and its affiliates are listed as entities that have access to the study records
 - Compensation conforms w/ TU policies (e.g., ClinCard, cash exemptions, gift cards, tax language, etc.)
 - Are LAR signature blocks appropriate given what Temple is doing?
 - Is the Temple protocol number included?
- HIPAA Authorization checks:
 - Using the new (harmonized with FCCC) HIPAA Authorization?
 - Are the study-specific sections (in blue) filled out correctly?

Step 5: Submitting to the IRB of Record

- The local context review is complete when the TU IRB sends the PI (via ERA) the signed IAA, a cede letter (titled as such), and the approvable versions of the consent(s) and HIPAA Authorization (as applicable).
- These documents should be submitted to the IRB of Record
 - Likely via an Amendment
 - Likely through your collaborator (if IRB of Record is another university IRB)
 - By the research team (if using a for-profit IRB like WCG, Advarra, and BRANY).

Step >5: Everything post local context review

- Provide the approval letter (it should explicitly reference Temple being added as a site or otherwise indicates that Temple is approved to start the research) to the TU IRB either via an email to IRB@temple.edu or via a Reportable New Information (RNI).
- TU IRB will need to be informed of:
 - Personnel (TU and TUHS personnel) additions
 - Via Personnel Amendments)
 - Major problems (serious/continuing noncompliance, Suspension, Termination, Unanticipated Problems involving Risks to Subjects or Others).
 - Via RNI
 - When Temple is closed as a site (this can also be if the study, as a whole, is closed).
 - Via RNI or email to IRB@temple.edu
- Everything else should only be submitted to and reviewed by the IRB of Record