

## 1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. This procedure establishes the process to review IRB submissions for regulatory issues.
- 1.2. This procedure begins when an IRB submission for a review or determination has been checked by office staff.
- 1.3. This procedure ends when the <Regulatory Reviewer> has completed the review or an investigator has withdrawn the submission.

## 2. POLICY

- 2.1. As part of IRB review, all submissions are reviewed by a <Regulatory Reviewer> to:
  - 2.1.1. Identify submissions with missing materials
  - 2.1.2. Identify and document the determinations that need to be made to approve research. (For example. waiver of consent, children, prisoners, IND/IDE)
  - 2.1.3. Identify any relevant local, state, or international requirements
  - 2.1.4. Arrange for consultation to resolve local, state, or international requirements.
  - 2.1.5. Identify other special review issues.
  - 2.1.6. Determine the likely level of review (<Committee Review> versus <Non-committee Review>)
  - 2.1.7. Handle responses to modifications required to secure approval
- 2.2. The <Regulatory Reviewer> documents <Regulatory Review> findings.
- 2.3. The <Meeting Chair> ensures that issues raised by <Regulatory Review> are covered at meetings.
- 2.4. The addition of a site to a previously approved study is considered an amendment to previously approved research.
- 2.5. Changes to study personnel are not considered an amendment to previously approved research when the study personnel meet the qualifications described in the IRB approved study.
- 2.6. Changes in the number of subjects to be enrolled at a local site of a multicenter study are not considered to be amendments to previously approved research when the number of subjects to be enrolled in the entire study is unchanged.
- 2.7. The IRB can provide generic approval for materials not tied to a specific protocol (such as generic advertisements or generic pre-screening consent forms).

## 3. RESPONSIBILITY

- 3.1. <Regulatory Reviewers> carry out these procedures. Qualified IRB staff who are not <Regulatory Reviewers> can review responses to a decision to conditionally approve research.

## 4. PROCEDURE

- 4.1. If the submission is a response to a decision to conditionally approve research:
  - 4.1.1. Evaluate whether the submitted materials meet the conditions necessary for approval and evaluate if any other changes have been made.
  - 4.1.2. If the submitted materials meet the conditions necessary for approval and nothing else in the submission has changed, document that the submission is approved and follow "SOP: Post-Review (HRP-111)." Otherwise, process as described in this SOP.
- 4.2. If the submission meets "WORKSHEET: Closure Criteria (HRP-413)", notify the investigator that the study qualifies for closure. If the investigator agrees to closing the study, close the study, follow "SOP: Post-Review (HRP-111)" to notify the investigator, and stop further processing.

- 4.3. If the investigator is <Restricted> and the submission satisfies all outstanding delinquent submissions, remove the investigator's <Restricted> status.
- 4.4. If the investigator is <Restricted> and the submission is an initial submission, notify the submission contact of IRB policy to disapprove those submissions:
  - 4.4.1. If the submission contact wants to address the <Restricted> status, have the contact provide additional information as appropriate to resolve the issues, or withdraw the submission and resubmit when complete.
  - 4.4.2. If the submission contact does not want to address the <Restricted> status, note this and continue processing.
- 4.5. Determine whether the submission is initial, continuing, or amendment. If both continuing and amendment, follow both procedures.
  - 4.5.1. For initial submission:
    - 4.5.1.1. Use "WORKSHEET: Regulatory Review (HRP-420)."
    - 4.5.1.2. Document any <Regulatory Review> findings.
  - 4.5.2. For an amendment submission:
    - 4.5.2.1. Review the <Regulatory Review> findings associated with prior approval(s).
    - 4.5.2.2. Use "WORKSHEET: Regulatory Review (HRP-420)."
    - 4.5.2.3. the submission includes information that might represent an Update <Regulatory Review> findings as needed.
    - 4.5.2.4. Determine whether <Unanticipated Problem Involving Risks to Subjects or Others>, <Serious Noncompliance>, <Continuing Noncompliance>, <Suspension of IRB Approval>, or <Termination of IRB Approval>.
      - 4.5.2.4.1. If so, additionally process under "SOP: New Information (HRP-112)."
  - 4.5.3. For continuing submission:
    - 4.5.3.1. Review the <Regulatory Review> findings associated with prior approval(s).
    - 4.5.3.2. Use "WORKSHEET: Regulatory Review (HRP-420)."
    - 4.5.3.3. Update <Regulatory Review> findings as needed.
    - 4.5.3.4. Determine whether the submission includes information that might represent an <Unanticipated Problem Involving Risks to Subjects or Others>, <Serious Noncompliance>, <Continuing Noncompliance>, <Suspension of IRB Approval>, or <Termination of IRB Approval>.
      - 4.5.3.4.1. If so, additionally process under "SOP: New Information (HRP-112)."
- 4.6. Identify any relevant local, state, or international requirements related to human research.
  - 4.6.1. Arrange for consultation, if needed to resolve local, state, or international regulatory issues.
- 4.7. Communicate with the submission contact for any potentially resolvable contingencies.
  - 4.7.1. If the submission contact wants to address the contingencies, have the contact provide additional information as appropriate to resolve the issues, or withdraw the submission and resubmit when complete.
  - 4.7.2. If the submission contact does not want to address the contingencies, note this and continue processing.
- 4.8. Determine whether the likely level of review is <Non-Committee Review> or <Committee Review> and route appropriately.

Document No.:	Edition No.:	Effective Date:	Page:
HRP-101	003	01 APR 20222	Page 3 of 3

## 5. REFERENCES

5.1. None